

MFD Utilization Summary Comparison FY09 – FY12

Departments	FY12		FY11		FY 10		FY 09	
	MFD Utilization	% of MFD Utilization	MFD Utilization	% of MFD Utilization	MFD Utilization	% of MFD Utilization	MFD Utilization	% of MFD Utilization
Department of Correction and Rehabilitation	\$9,781 (3,654,188)	0.27%	\$25,964 (4,285,771)	0.61%	\$508,187 (2,221,319)	22.88%	\$721,224 (3,150,296)	22.89%
Office of the County Attorney	\$256 (1,791)	14.29%	\$0 (0)	0%	\$0 (8,500)	0%	\$0 (19,552)	0%
Department of Economic Development	\$0 (241,000)	0%	\$0 (0)	0%	\$0 (78,750)	0%	\$0 (244,520)	0%
Department of Environmental Protection	\$ 14,079,867 (58,008,004)	24.27%	\$18,856,557 (60,920,055)	30.95%	\$12,377,464 (47,468,655)	26.08%	\$11,642,210 (46,756,736)	24.90%
Department of Finance	\$ 3,609,314 (11,740,005)	30.74%	\$3,146,267 (10,178,355)	30.91%	\$4,562,336 (8,948,799)	50.98%	\$8,193,361 (45,808,620)	17.89%
Fire and Rescue Services	\$169,552 (5,429,978)	3.12%	\$31,918 (3,343,499)	0.95%	\$47,549 (2,274,269)	2.09%	\$694,117 (6,949,736)	9.99%
Department of Health and Human Services	\$ 4,378,226 (14,140,988)	30.96%	\$4,245,744 (15,708,918)	27.03%	\$3,824,121 (10,988,932)	34.80%	\$3,337,669 (17,650,792)	18.91%
Office of Consumer Protection	\$0 (0)	0%	\$0 (0)	0%	\$0 (0)	0%	\$0 (4,045)	0%
Department of Housing and Community Affairs	\$2,500 (123,614)	2.02%	\$597,897 (1,493,406)	40.04%	\$4,433,592 (4,747,799)	93.38%	\$379,088 (1,566,933)	24.19%
Office of Human Resources	\$ 6,034,320 (173,208,923)	3.48%	\$7,318,250 (175,981,872)	4.16%	\$1,343,465 (211,230,268)	0.64%	\$2,586,078 (172,760,075)	1.50%
Office of Intergovernmental Relations	\$0 (0)	0%	\$0 (0)	0%	\$0 (0)	0%	\$0 (391)	0%
Department of Liquor Control	\$ 3,861,946 (20,587,508)	18.76%	\$1,335,155 (2,105,002)	63.43%	\$1,533,140 (4,144,687)	36.99%	\$1,384,144 (2,435,078)	56.84%
Office of Management and Budget	\$37,525 (37,525)	100.00%	\$18,552 (22,054)	84.12%	\$5,544 (5,544)	100.00%	\$0 (5,312)	0%
Department of Permitting Services	\$455 (463,073)	0.10%	\$0 (0)	0%	\$11,864 (334,563)	3.55%	\$14,324 (131,479)	10.89%
Department of Police	\$ 1,206,097 (19,335,969)	6.24%	\$1,860,924 (17,371,404)	10.71%	\$1,287,690 (12,521,914)	10.28%	\$1,412,851 (17,761,635)	7.95%
Department of General Services	\$ 30,666,892 (204,894,196)	14.97%	\$52,531,033 (333,507,275)	15.75%	\$11,119,473 (106,857,628)	10.41%	\$14,879,970 (199,064,976)	7.47%
Office of Public Information	\$ 1,118,568 (1,180,049)	94.79%	\$1,013,105 (1,207,704)	83.89%	\$26,500 (149,920)	17.68%	\$0 (258,828)	0%
Department of Public Libraries	\$1,295 (468,403)	0.28%	\$9,862 (475,233)	2.08%	\$64,750 (597,010)	10.85%	\$227,875 (2,005,299)	11.36%
Department of Transportation	\$ 50,902,216 (106,326,677)	47.87%	\$60,867,629 (138,807,448)	43.85%	\$39,649,665 (124,188,859)	31.93%	\$35,321,953 (171,777,174)	20.56%
Department of Recreation	\$121,471 (4,064,225)	2.99%	\$460,833 (14,536,379)	3.17%	\$55,868 (11,035,018)	0.51%	82,578 (4,663,020)	1.77%
Department of Technology Services	\$ 11,778,418 (35,842,633)	32.86%	\$4,185,895 (35,310,601)	11.85%	\$5,420,220 (19,588,697)	27.67%	\$9,546,208 (25,079,579)	38.06%

- ❑ Departments highlighted in yellow were the most consistent in their high MFD utilization of the four year period.
- ❑ The lower levels of MFD utilization by other departments is potentially due to:
 - Lack of outreach to the minority business community;
 - MFD firms not offering needed goods/services or not available in the particular commodity class;
 - Departments' direct purchases from the manufacturer.